UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

| United States of America, | Case No. 4:23 - MJ - 70144 - MAG-1 |
|---|---|
| Plaintiff. v. Ozymandias Troy Weltson Defendant(s). | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| Ozymandias Troy Weltson | |
| Defendant(s). | JAN 102725 |
| For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Trial Act from 01 10 25 to 01 24 25 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public ar 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and base | and finds that the ends of interesting under the Speedy and finds that the ends of interesting to the court and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 17.8 CFRCE as this continuance on the following factor(s): |
| Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | e likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| defendants, the nature of the pro | due to [check applicable reasons] the number of secution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| Failure to grant a continuance would d taking into account the exercise of due | eny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| Failure to grant a continuance would u counsel's other scheduled case commissee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | nreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given tments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| Failure to grant a continuance would unecessary for effective preparation, takes See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | nreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ring into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| disposition of criminal cases, the court paragraph and — based on the parties' | taking into account the public interest in the prompt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ag under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the C. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | 1 1. + 1. |
| DATED: 01 10 25 | Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge |
| Atterney for Defendant | Assistant United States Attorney v. 1/10/2019 |